



# Judicial Department

## Summary

The Judicial Department includes Virginia's system of courts. It has responsibility for legal proceedings for criminal offenses and civil issues, including custody and control of children, divorce and other family matters, traffic offenses, and the appointment of electoral boards. The courts also review decisions of quasi-judicial agencies such as the Virginia Workers' Compensation Commission and State Corporation Commission.

The courts order funding for indigent defense and involuntary mental commitments through the "criminal fund" and the "involuntary mental commitment fund." These funds are supported by general tax dollars. The criminal fund pays the legal costs to defend low-income people who are accused of crimes for which they could be imprisoned, as required by both the Virginia and federal constitutions. The involuntary mental commitment fund pays the legal and medical costs needed to commit low-income people against their will for mental health evaluations and care.

In addition to the court system, the Judicial Department includes several other agencies that regulate the legal profession, as well as the Public Defender Commission, which administers the statewide system of public defender offices, and the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission, which establishes voluntary sentencing guidelines for judges.

### Summary of recommended funding for Judicial agencies

Agency	Fiscal year 2003			Fiscal year 2004		
	GF	NGF	All funds	GF	NGF	All funds
Supreme Court of Virginia	17.6	0.5	18.1	17.7	0.5	18.2
Court of Appeals of Virginia	5.7	0.0	5.7	5.7	0.0	5.7
Circuit Courts	81.5	0.2	81.7	81.5	0.2	81.7
General District Courts	72.5	0.0	72.5	72.5	0.0	72.5
Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts	52.7	0.0	52.7	52.7	0.0	52.7
Combined District Courts	16.4	0.0	16.4	16.4	0.0	16.4
Magistrate System	18.8	0.0	18.8	18.8	0.0	18.8

*Continued on next page*

Agency	Fiscal year 2003			Fiscal year 2004		
	GF	NGF	All funds	GF	NGF	All funds
Board of Bar Examiners	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9
Judicial Inquiry and Review Commission	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5
Public Defender Commission	18.9	0.0	18.9	18.9	0.0	18.9
Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission	0.8	<0.1	0.9	0.8	<0.1	0.9
Virginia State Bar	2.1	10.3	12.5	2.1	9.8	12.0
Judicial Department Reversion Clearing Account	(9.7)	0.0	(9.7)	(9.7)	0.0	(9.7)
<b>Total for Judicial</b>	<b>278.7</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>289.8</b>	<b>278.8</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>289.4</b>

Dollars in millions. Figures may not add due to rounding. See "How to read the summary tables" on page B-1.

## Supreme Court of Virginia

The Supreme Court consists of a Chief Justice and six justices, and is the state court of last resort. It hears cases and issues opinions in cases appealed from lower courts on whether laws are constitutional and properly applied. It also may determine whether persons are being held legally and hears cases of attorney and judicial misconduct. It considers over 2,900 cases each year. The Supreme Court, with the help of its support staff, administers other state courts and sets rules for legal practice and procedures. In 2001, 13.6 percent of the agency's spending was from federal funds.

### Recommended funding changes:

- ▶ **Finance Social Security tax base increase.** An adjustment to pay increases in Social Security taxes on salaries for justices. For each year, \$5,208 (GF).
- ▶ **Adjust funding for rental charges.** Adjusts the agency's appropriation in response to the development of new rates for rental space at the seat of government. The new rates were developed to account for increases in the costs of contractual services, payments in lieu of taxes, utilities, vacant space, and personal services. For 2003, \$121,572 (GF). For 2004, \$177,398 (GF).

## Court of Appeals of Virginia

This court consists of a Chief Judge and ten judges, hears appeals in criminal (excluding death penalty) cases, domestic relations cases, and cases of most administrative agencies, such as those of the Virginia Workers' Compensation Commission. It considers over 3,000 cases annually. The Court of Appeals does not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding changes:

- ▶ **Finance Social Security tax base increase.** An adjustment to pay increases in Social Security taxes on salaries for judges. For each year, \$8,184 (GF).
- ▶ **Adjust funding for rental charges.** Adjusts the agency's appropriation in response to the development of new rates for rental space at the seat of government. The new rates were developed to account for increases in the costs of contractual services, payments in lieu of taxes, utilities, vacant space, and personal services. For 2003, \$158 (GF). For 2004, \$6,593 (GF).

## Circuit Courts

Virginia has 31 circuit courts served by 150 judges. Circuit courts decide about 250,000 cases annually, involving civil matters (including divorce, will, and property disputes), all felonies, and misdemeanors arising from grand jury indictments. Circuit Courts also hear appeals from cases decided in General District Courts, Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts, and administrative agencies. The Circuit Courts do not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding changes:

- ▶ **Increase funds for criminal indigent defense.** Additional funds to pay the court costs of low-income persons. The Criminal Fund is a descriptive title for the various statutes that require the payment of court costs and allowances. The major expenditure in this fund is for the services of court appointed attorneys for the indigent defendants in criminal cases. Based on the forecast of estimated costs, additional funding is required. For each year, \$5.7 million (GF).
- ▶ **Finance Social Security tax base increase.** An adjustment to pay increases in Social Security taxes on salaries for judges. For each year, \$111,600 (GF).

## General District Courts

Virginia has 32 districts, served by 124 judges. Each year general district courts decide over three million criminal misdemeanor cases, traffic violation cases, and civil cases involving small amounts of money. They also hold preliminary hearings on felony cases. The General District Courts do not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding changes:

- ▶ **Increase funds for criminal indigent defense.** Additional funds to pay court costs of low-income persons. The Criminal Fund is a descriptive title for the various statutes that require the payment of court costs and allowances. The major expenditure in this fund is for services of court-appointed attorneys for indigent defendants in criminal cases. Based on the forecast of estimated costs, additional funding is required. For each year, \$1.6 million (GF).
- ▶ **Finance Social Security tax base increase.** An adjustment to pay increases in Social Security taxes on salaries for judges. For each year, \$92,256 (GF).
- ▶ **Provide funding for two judgeships.** Provides funding for two additional judgeships. Positions were added via legislation during the 2001 session, but no positions or funding were provided. For each year, \$374,698 (GF). For 2003, two positions (GF).

## Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts

Virginia's 32 districts are served by 110 judges who hear more than 550,000 cases each year involving juvenile offenders and family issues such as child abuse, child support payments, and custody disputes. The Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts do not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding changes:

- ▶ **Increase funds for criminal indigent defense.** Additional funds to pay court costs of low-income persons. The Criminal Fund is a descriptive title for the various statutes that require the payment of court costs and allowances. The major expenditure in this fund is for services of court-

appointed attorneys for indigent defendants in criminal cases. Based on the forecast of estimated costs, additional funding is required. For each year, \$3.5 million (GF).

- ▶ **Finance Social Security tax base increase.** An adjustment to pay increases in Social Security taxes on salaries for judges. For each year, \$81,840 (GF).
- ▶ **Provide funding for two judgeships.** Provides funding for two additional judgeships. Positions were added via legislation during the 2001 session, but no positions or funding were provided. For each year, \$374,698 (GF). For 2003, two positions (GF).

## Combined District Courts

Some areas of the Commonwealth do not have enough legal cases to require separate General District and Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Courts. In these localities, some activities for the two courts may be combined. The Combined District Courts do not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding change:

- ▶ **Increase funds for criminal indigent defense.** Additional funds to pay court costs of low-income persons. The Criminal Fund is a descriptive title for the various statutes that require the payment of court costs and allowances. The major expenditure in this fund is for services of court-appointed attorneys for indigent defendants in criminal cases. Based on the forecast of estimated costs, additional funding is required. For each year, \$880,302 (GF).

## Magistrate System

Magistrates issue legal papers such as subpoenas, search warrants, civil warrants, and arrest warrants for law enforcement officers and private citizens. They also conduct bond hearings and accept payment for traffic violations and some misdemeanors. The system deals with over a million transactions per year. The Magistrate System does not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding change:

- ▶ **Achieve savings from the reduction in workers' compensation premiums.** Savings resulting from a reduction in workers' compensation premiums paid by state agencies on behalf of their employees. Workers' compensation premiums are based in large part on the claims experience of agencies. A low claims experience results in a reduction in premiums. This action allows the agency to retain 25 percent of the premium reduction as an incentive to maintain good workplace safety. For 2003, a savings of \$2,460 (GF). For 2004, a savings of \$1,179 (GF).

## Board of Bar Examiners

Each fiscal year this board composes, administers, and grades the Virginia Bar Examination for about 2,000 qualified candidates. The board also processes applications and certifies admissions of out-of-state attorneys who wish to be admitted to the Virginia Bar under the Supreme Court's reciprocity rule. In 2001, the board received 126 applications for admission on motion. The board also conducts a character and fitness background investigation of applicants. In addition, the board reviews and approves applicants and monitors the law office study program authorized by statute. The agency does not receive any federal funds.

### No recommended funding changes

## Judicial Inquiry and Review Commission

The commission investigates alleged judicial misconduct and may file formal complaints against judges with the Supreme Court. The commission does not receive any federal funds.

### Recommended funding change:

- ▶ **Adjust funding for rental charges.** Adjusts the agency's appropriation in response to the development of new rates for rental space at the seat of government. The new rates were developed to account for increases in the costs of contractual services, payments in lieu of taxes, utilities, vacant space, and personal services. For 2003, \$1,509 (GF). For 2004, \$2,986 (GF).

## Public Defender Commission

Virginia has 20 public defenders, 174 assistant public defenders, 33 investigators, and sentencing specialists who serve 46 jurisdictions through 20 offices across the Commonwealth. They provided legal defense, from pre-trial motions through appeals, for about 77,500 low-income people in fiscal year 2001. Those defendants were charged with over 135,000 offenses that carried possible jail or prison terms or the death penalty. In fiscal year 2001, less than one percent of the agency's spending was from federal funds.

### No recommended funding changes

## Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

The commission was established by the 1994 General Assembly during its second special session. The commission assists the judiciary in setting appropriate sentence lengths for felony convictions by providing a system of discretionary sentencing guidelines. These guidelines use historical time served, as well as other factors deemed relevant in determining sentence length. The commission also provides a risk assessment instrument to judges to help them determine if an individual is an appropriate candidate for alternative forms of incarceration. In fiscal year 2001, less than one percent of the agency's spending was from federal funds.

### Recommended funding change:

- ▶ **Adjust funding for rental charges.** Adjusts the agency's appropriation in response to the development of new rates for rental space at the seat of government and changes in the agency's space needs. For 2003, a savings of \$13,462 (GF). For 2004, a savings of \$11,985 (GF).

## Virginia State Bar

Virginia has over 31,600 active and associate lawyers and 955 judges. In fiscal year 2001, the Virginia State Bar received 3,250 complaints against lawyers. If the bar finds substantial misconduct, then it can reprimand, suspend, or disbar an attorney from practicing in Virginia. Each year, it trains about 1,175 new lawyers on professional responsibility and monitors around 250,000 credit hours for continuing legal education. The bar also recruits and trains volunteer lawyers to provide pro bono legal services to low-income Virginians. The primary nongeneral fund sources for this agency are dues paid by lawyers and related business and license fees.

Included in the bar's budget is funding to defend people who cannot afford lawyers in civil cases. The Legal Services Corporation of Virginia provides these services, funded through a filing fee charged on civil cases. General fund money passes through the bar to the Virginia Capital Representa-

tion Resource Center. Begun in 1992, this private agency assists lawyers who are defending low-income persons who could be put to death for their crimes. The bar does not receive any federal funds.

**Recommended funding change:**

- ▶ **Implement data information management system.** Integrates computer systems to share a common database. This project will address four core business processes: membership; mandatory continuing education; disciplinary action, and accounting. In addition, the 2000 General Assembly provided some funding toward these upgrades, and these additional funds will allow for the completion of the project. For 2003, \$500,000 (NGF).

## **Judicial Department Reversion Clearing Account**

This central account holds funds to cover unexpected cost increases or savings actions for judicial agencies. Rather than budgeting in each agency's costs, a lower amount of money can be held centrally to fund needs as they arise.

**Recommended funding change:**

- ▶ **Implement across-the-board reduction for agencies of the Judicial Department.** Aids in the balancing of the budget through the creation of a reversion account representing the Judicial Branch share of an across-the-board reduction of state agency appropriations. This action continues the two percent reduction begun in 2002, and adds another four percent reduction in 2003. The four percent reduction is continued into 2004. These reductions exempt the Criminal Fund, the Involuntary Mental Commitment Fund, and justices' and judges' salaries. In each year, a reduction of \$9.7 million (GF).



# Judicial Department

## Detail Tables

	Fiscal Year 2003			Fiscal Year 2004		
	General	Nongeneral	All Funds	General	Nongeneral	All Funds
<b>SUPREME COURT</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	17,241,792	471,875	17,713,667	17,241,792	471,875	17,713,667
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	247,928	6,911	254,839	247,928	6,911	254,839
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	17,489,720	478,786	17,968,506	17,489,720	478,786	17,968,506
<b>Recommended funding changes:</b>						
▶ Finance Social Security tax base increase	5,208	0	5,208	5,208	0	5,208
▶ Adjust funding for rental charges	121,752	0	121,752	177,398	0	177,398
<b>Total recommended funding changes</b>	126,960	0	126,960	182,606	0	182,606
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	17,616,680	478,786	18,095,466	17,672,326	478,786	18,151,112
% change over activity-based budget	0.73%	0%	0.71%	1.04%	0%	1.02%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	108.63	1.00	109.63	108.63	1.00	109.63
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	108.63	1.00	109.63	108.63	1.00	109.63
<b>COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	5,571,888	0	5,571,888	5,571,888	0	5,571,888
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	118,111	0	118,111	118,111	0	118,111
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	5,689,999	0	5,689,999	5,689,999	0	5,689,999
<b>Recommended funding changes:</b>						
▶ Finance Social Security tax base increase	8,184	0	8,184	8,184	0	8,184
▶ Adjust funding for rental charges	158	0	158	6,593	0	6,593
<b>Total recommended funding changes</b>	8,342	0	8,342	14,777	0	14,777
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	5,698,341	0	5,698,341	5,704,776	0	5,704,776
% change over activity-based budget	0.15%	0%	0.15%	0.26%	0%	0.26%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	55.13	0	55.13	55.13	0	55.13
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	55.13	0	55.13	55.13	0	55.13
<b>CIRCUIT COURTS</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	74,820,195	198,600	75,018,795	74,820,195	198,600	75,018,795
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	927,265	0	927,265	927,265	0	927,265
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	75,747,460	198,600	75,946,060	75,747,460	198,600	75,946,060

	Fiscal Year 2003			Fiscal Year 2004		
	General	Nongeneral	All Funds	General	Nongeneral	All Funds
<b>Recommended funding changes:</b>						
▶ Increase funds for criminal indigent defense	5,654,117	0	5,654,117	5,654,117	0	5,654,117
▶ Finance Social Security tax base increase	111,600	0	111,600	111,600	0	111,600
<b>Total recommended funding changes</b>	<b>5,765,717</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,765,717</b>	<b>5,765,717</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,765,717</b>
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	<b>81,513,177</b>	<b>198,600</b>	<b>81,711,777</b>	<b>81,513,177</b>	<b>198,600</b>	<b>81,711,777</b>
% change over activity-based budget	7.61%	0%	7.59%	7.61%	0%	7.59%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	<b>157.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>157.00</b>	<b>157.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>157.00</b>
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	<b>157.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>157.00</b>	<b>157.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>157.00</b>
<b>GENERAL DISTRICT COURTS</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	<b>68,971,939</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68,971,939</b>	<b>68,971,939</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68,971,939</b>
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	1,494,192	0	1,494,192	1,494,192	0	1,494,192
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	<b>70,466,131</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70,466,131</b>	<b>70,466,131</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70,466,131</b>
<b>Recommended funding changes:</b>						
▶ Increase funds for criminal indigent defense	1,555,546	0	1,555,546	1,555,546	0	1,555,546
▶ Finance Social Security tax base increase	92,256	0	92,256	92,256	0	92,256
▶ Provide funding for two judgeships	374,698	0	374,698	374,698	0	374,698
<b>Total recommended funding changes</b>	<b>2,022,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,022,500</b>	<b>2,022,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,022,500</b>
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	<b>72,488,631</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72,488,631</b>	<b>72,488,631</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72,488,631</b>
% change over activity-based budget	2.87%	0%	2.87%	2.87%	0%	2.87%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	<b>921.20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>921.20</b>	<b>921.20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>921.20</b>
Recommended position level changes	2.00	0	2.00	2.00	0	2.00
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	<b>923.20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>923.20</b>	<b>923.20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>923.20</b>
<b>JUVENILE AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS DISTRICT COURTS</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	<b>47,709,118</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47,709,118</b>	<b>47,709,118</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47,709,118</b>
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	1,040,025	0	1,040,025	1,040,025	0	1,040,025
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	<b>48,749,143</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48,749,143</b>	<b>48,749,143</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48,749,143</b>
<b>Recommended funding changes:</b>						
▶ Increase funds for criminal indigent defense	3,489,252	0	3,489,252	3,489,252	0	3,489,252
▶ Finance Social Security tax base increase	81,840	0	81,840	81,840	0	81,840
▶ Provide funding for two judgeships	374,698	0	374,698	374,698	0	374,698
<b>Total recommended funding changes</b>	<b>3,945,790</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,945,790</b>	<b>3,945,790</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,945,790</b>
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	<b>52,694,933</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>52,694,933</b>	<b>52,694,933</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>52,694,933</b>
% change over activity-based budget	8.09%	0%	8.09%	8.09%	0%	8.09%

	Fiscal Year 2003			Fiscal Year 2004		
	General	Nongeneral	All Funds	General	Nongeneral	All Funds
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	559.80	0	559.80	559.80	0	559.80
Recommended position level changes	2.00	0	2.00	2.00	0	2.00
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	561.80	0	561.80	561.80	0	561.80
<b>COMBINED DISTRICT COURTS</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	15,223,519	0	15,223,519	15,223,519	0	15,223,519
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	305,245	0	305,245	305,245	0	305,245
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	15,528,764	0	15,528,764	15,528,764	0	15,528,764
<b>Recommended funding change:</b>						
► Increase funds for criminal indigent defense	880,302	0	880,302	880,302	0	880,302
<b>Total recommended funding change</b>	880,302	0	880,302	880,302	0	880,302
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	16,409,066	0	16,409,066	16,409,066	0	16,409,066
% change over activity-based budget	5.67%	0%	5.67%	5.67%	0%	5.67%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	222.75	0	222.75	222.75	0	222.75
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	222.75	0	222.75	222.75	0	222.75
<b>MAGISTRATE SYSTEM</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	18,349,733	0	18,349,733	18,349,733	0	18,349,733
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	422,053	0	422,053	422,053	0	422,053
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	18,771,786	0	18,771,786	18,771,786	0	18,771,786
<b>Recommended funding change:</b>						
► Achieve savings from the reduction in workers' compensation premiums	(2,460)	0	(2,460)	(1,179)	0	(1,179)
<b>Total recommended funding change</b>	(2,460)	0	(2,460)	(1,179)	0	(1,179)
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	18,769,326	0	18,769,326	18,770,607	0	18,770,607
% change over activity-based budget	(0.01%)	0%	(0.01%)	(0.01%)	0%	(0.01%)
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	399.20	0	399.20	399.20	0	399.20
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	399.20	0	399.20	399.20	0	399.20
<b>BOARD OF BAR EXAMINERS</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	872,329	0	872,329	872,329	0	872,329
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	63,926	0	63,926	63,926	0	63,926
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	936,255	0	936,255	936,255	0	936,255
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	936,255	0	936,255	936,255	0	936,255
% change over activity-based budget	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	5.00	0	5.00	5.00	0	5.00
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	5.00	0	5.00	5.00	0	5.00

	Fiscal Year 2003			Fiscal Year 2004		
	General	Nongeneral	All Funds	General	Nongeneral	All Funds
<b>JUDICIAL INQUIRY AND REVIEW COMMISSION</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	453,330	0	453,330	453,330	0	453,330
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	6,409	0	6,409	6,409	0	6,409
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	459,739	0	459,739	459,739	0	459,739
<b>Recommended funding change:</b>						
► Adjust funding for rental charges	1,509	0	1,509	2,986	0	2,986
<b>Total recommended funding change</b>	1,509	0	1,509	2,986	0	2,986
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	461,248	0	461,248	462,725	0	462,725
% change over activity-based budget	0.33%	0%	0.33%	0.65%	0%	0.65%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	3.00	0	3.00	3.00	0	3.00
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	3.00	0	3.00	3.00	0	3.00
<b>PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	18,427,224	0	18,427,224	18,427,224	0	18,427,224
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	499,392	0	499,392	499,392	0	499,392
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	18,926,616	0	18,926,616	18,926,616	0	18,926,616
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	18,926,616	0	18,926,616	18,926,616	0	18,926,616
% change over activity-based budget	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	300.00	0	300.00	300.00	0	300.00
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	300.00	0	300.00	300.00	0	300.00
<b>VIRGINIA CRIMINAL SENTENCING COMMISSION</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	821,030	35,000	856,030	821,030	35,000	856,030
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	14,772	0	14,772	14,772	0	14,772
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	835,802	35,000	870,802	835,802	35,000	870,802
<b>Recommended funding change:</b>						
► Adjust funding for rental charges	(13,462)	0	(13,462)	(11,985)	0	(11,985)
<b>Total recommended funding change</b>	(13,462)	0	(13,462)	(11,985)	0	(11,985)
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	822,340	35,000	857,340	823,817	35,000	858,817
% change over activity-based budget	(1.61%)	0%	(1.55%)	(1.43%)	0%	(1.38%)
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	10.00	0	10.00	10.00	0	10.00
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	10.00	0	10.00	10.00	0	10.00
<b>VIRGINIA STATE BAR</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	2,145,000	10,108,454	12,253,454	2,145,000	10,108,454	12,253,454
Adjustments to legislative appropriation	0	(275,690)	(275,690)	0	(275,690)	(275,690)
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	2,145,000	9,832,764	11,977,764	2,145,000	9,832,764	11,977,764

	Fiscal Year 2003			Fiscal Year 2004		
	General	Nongeneral	All Funds	General	Nongeneral	All Funds
<b>Recommended funding change:</b>						
► Implement data information management system	0	500,000	500,000	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended funding change</b>	0	500,000	500,000	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	2,145,000	10,332,764	12,477,764	2,145,000	9,832,764	11,977,764
% change over activity-based budget	0%	5.09%	4.17%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	0	79.50	79.50	0	79.50	79.50
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	0	79.50	79.50	0	79.50	79.50
<b>JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT REVERSION CLEARING ACCOUNT</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Activity-based budget</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Recommended funding change:</b>						
► Implement across-the-board reduction for agencies of the Judicial Department	(9,733,411)	0	(9,733,411)	(9,733,411)	0	(9,733,411)
<b>Total recommended funding change</b>	(9,733,411)	0	(9,733,411)	(9,733,411)	0	(9,733,411)
<b>Total recommended funding</b>	(9,733,411)	0	(9,733,411)	(9,733,411)	0	(9,733,411)
% change over activity-based budget	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Position level:</b>						
<b>2002 legislative appropriation</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recommended position level changes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total recommended positions</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL FOR JUDICIAL</b>						
Grand total recommended funds	278,748,202	11,045,150	289,793,352	278,814,518	10,545,150	289,359,668
Grand total recommended positions	2,745.71	80.50	2,826.21	2,745.71	80.50	2,826.21